Risk Register

Project Name:	Transport Funding bid (A432 Fishponds Road)
Project ID:	
Project Manager:	Jacob Pryor

Key: **Type:** C (Construction); D (Design); E (Environmental); F (Financial); M (Management); P (Political); O (Operational); T (Technical); U (Utilities)
Probability: 1 (unlikely); 2 (equally likely/unlikely); 3 (likely); 4 (very likely)
Cost Impact: 1 (up to 1% or £10k); 2 (between 1 and 5% or £10k and £50k); 3 (between 6 and 15% or £50k and £250k); 4 (between 16 and 25% or £250k and £500k); 5 (greater than 25% or £500k)
Delivery impact: 1 (up to 3 months); 2 (between 3 and 6 months); 3 (between 6 and 9 months); 4 (between 9 and 12 months); 5 (greater than 12 months)
Priority: 1- 4 (very low); 5-9 (low); 10-14 (medium); 15-19 (high); 20-24 (very high); 25 (critical)
Response (to risks): Avoid; Reduce; Fallback; Transfer; Accept; Share; or a combination
Response (to opportunities): Share; Exploit; Enhance; Reject; or a combination

			Original									Residual								
Risk ID	Type	Description	Probability	Cost Impact	Delivery Impact	Priority	Date Identified	Date Updated	Proximity (by project stage)	Response (may be more than one)	Mitigation (may be more than one)	Probability	Cost Impact	Delivery Impact	Priority	Risk owner	Mitigation owner	Notes	Status	Related Issue ID
1	F (Financial)	The project cannot be contained within the funding envelope	2	3	3	12	19-Dec-23		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement with finance colleagues to establish contingency and inflation allowances, commitment with funder to contain project within allocation	1	3	3	6	PM	PM			
2	D (Design)	Designs are not supported by the local community	2	1	3	8	19-Dec-23		Delivery	Reduce	Undertake thorough engagement with local residents at the earliest opportunity to identify and design challenges	1	1	2	3	PM	PM			
3	M (Management)	Insufficient resources to deliver the project	1	3	5	8	19-Dec-23		Delivery	Avoid	Resource planning during proposal development will prevent this from occuring. Understanding impact on support services and including costs within budget	1	1	2	3	PM	PM			
4	P (Political)	Scheme lacks political support	1	3	5	8	19-Dec-23		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement with key decision makers and ward councillors. Secure cabinet approval in advance of funding decision	1	2	2	4	PM	PM			
5	T (Technical)	Scheme not technically deliverable	2	3	3	12	19-Dec-23		Delivery	Reduce	Early engagement with technical teams to ensure designs are deliverable.	1	2	2	4	PM	PM			
						0									0					
						0									0					
						0									0					
						0									0					
						0									0					